Henry County Board of Commissioners Request for Proposals No. 1 October 9, 2007 Posting Date

Request for Proposals Notification

Project Location: Henry County, Indiana

Response Due Date and Time: October 23rd, 2007 and no later than 2:00 p.m. local

time

This Request for Proposals (RFP) is official notification of needed professional services. This RFP is being issued to solicit a letter of Interest (LoI) and other documents from firms qualified to perform engineering work on federal aid projects. A submittal does not guarantee that the firm will be contracted to perform any services but only serves notice that that firm desires to be considered.

Contact for Questions: Mr. Jeff Ray

Trail Committee Chairman

Healthy Communities of Henry County

(765) 524-1753 jray@tmcsmail.com

Submittal requirements:

1. <u>5</u> copies - Letter of Interest for each item (required content and instructions follow)

2. One (1) signed Affirmative Action Certification and associated required documents for all items with Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goals. (sample form follows).

Submit To:

Healthy Communities of Henry County, Inc.

100 South Main Street, Suite 204

New Castle, IN 47362

Selection Procedures:

Consultants will be selected for work items further described herein, based on the evaluation of the Letter of Interest (LoI) and other required documents. The Consultant Selection Rating Form that will be used to evaluate and score the submittals is included for your reference.

Requirements for Letters of Interest (LoI)

- A. General instructions for Preparing and Submitting a Letter of Interest (LoI)
 - 1. Provide the information as set out in Item B below, in the same order listed, signed by an officer of the firm. Scanned signed documents or electronically applied signatures are both acceptable. Do not send additional forms, resumes, brochures, or other material unless otherwise noted in the item description.
 - 2. Lol's shall be limited to a total of twelve (12) 8 ½" x 11" pages. Ten (10) pages for <u>Identification and Qualification</u> and two (2) pages for the combined <u>Key staff</u> and <u>Project Approach</u> unless otherwise noted in the Project Description.
 - 3. LoI's must be received not later than "Response Due Date and Time" as shown in the RFP header shown above. Responses received after this deadline will not be considered. Submittals must include all required attachments to be considered for selection.

B. Letter of Interest Content

1. Identification and Qualifications

- a. Provide the firm name, address of the responsible office from which the work will be performed and the name and email address of the contact person authorized to negotiate for the associated work.
- b. List all proposed sub consultants, their DBE status, and the percentage of work to be performed by the lead consultant and each sub consultant (sample Affirmative Action Certification follows). A listing of certified DBE's eligible to be considered for selection as prime consultants or sub-consultants for this RFP can be found at the Indiana Department of Transportation's (INDOT) website. (http://www.in.gov/dot/div/legal/DBE/dbe list.xls).
- c. Provide personnel resumes and such additional information concerning qualifications as may be relevant to the project.

2. Key staff and Project approach

a. List the Project Manager and other key staff members, including key sub consultant staff and include the percent of time the project manager will be committed for the contract, if selected. Include project engineers for important disciplines and staff members that will be responsible for the work. Address the experience of the key staff members on similar projects and the staff qualifications relative to the required item qualifications.

- b. Describe the capacity of your staff and their ability to perform the work in a timely manner relative to present workload and the availability of the assigned staff.
- c. Provide a description of your Project Approach relative to the advertised services. For project specific items confirm that the firm has visited the project site. For all items address your firm's technical understanding of the project or services, as related to your firm's qualifications.

Requirements for Affirmative Action Certification

A completed Affirmative Action Certification form is required for <u>all</u> items that identify a DBE goal. The consultant must identify the DBE firms with which it intends to subcontract. Include the contract participation percentage of each DBE and list what the DBE will be subcontracted to perform on the Affirmative Action Certification Form. Copies of DBE certifications, as issued by INDOT, for each firm listed are to be included as additional pages after the form. If the consultant does not meet the DBE goal, the consultant must provide documentations on additional pages that it has made good faith efforts to achieve the DBE goal. Please review the DBE program based on any goals set and complete the DBE Affirmative Action Certification form as applicable. What constitutes good faith efforts is explained in detail within the DBE program information referred to above. If no goal is set then no Affirmative Action Certification form is required. Indiana Department of Transportation's (INDOT) DBE Program Information is available at the Indiana Department of Transportation's website.

A listing of certified DBE's eligible to be considered for selection as prime consultants or subconsultants for this RFP can be found at the Indiana Department of Transportation's (INDOT) website. (http://www.in.gov/dot/div/legal/DBE/dbe_list.xls)

DBE subcontracting goals apply to <u>all</u> prime submitting consultants, regardless of the prime's status of DBE.

Work item details:

Local Public Agency:

Henry County Board of Commissioners

Project Location:

Henry County, in and near New Castle, Indiana

INDOT District covering project:

Greenfield District

INDOT Des#:

9301158

Project Phases Included:

Item 1. Right-of-Way Services
Item 2. Construction Engineering

Project Description: Wilbur Wright Trail, Project No. 0301158

The project begins at the Henry County YMCA in New Castle, Indiana, and ends near the intersection of State Road 103 and C.R. 150 N. The trail lies partially along an abandoned railroad corridor. It is 12 feet wide with 2-foot shoulders and includes an underpass beneath the Norfolk Southern Railroad, and a bridge over Penns Run. Topographic surveying, the CE (Level 3) environmental study, and the Preliminary Engineering are either completed or in progress. A field check of the project was conducted in February, 2007.

Estimated Construction Amount:

\$1,100,000

Funding:

Federal Funding involved

Term of Contract:

Item 1: Right-of-Way Services work is anticipated to start December 2007

Item 2: Length of construction contract plus two months

DBE goal:

Item 1. Right of way Services: 0%

Item 2. Construction Engineering: 3%

Required Prequalification Categories:

Item 1: Right-of-Way Services

12.1 Project Management

12.2 Title Research

12.3 Value Analysis

12.4 Appraisal

12.5 Appraisal Review

12.6 Negotiation

Item 2: Construction Engineering Services

General Prequalification

Additional Qualifications: the following shall constitute as the minimum requirements for an individual assigned under this agreement.

1.) Indiana Licensed Professional Engineer, or

- 2.) Graduate from an accredited college with a BS in Civil Engineering, or
- 3.) 'Certified under INDOT's Certified Technician Program Additionally, all assigned individuals must have the ability to pass written and proficiency tests for INDOT's Qualified Technician Program for Construction Personnel prior to such tests being required on the assigned project.

CONSULTANT SELECTION RATING FORM

LPA Consultant Selection Rating Sheet

	Selection Rating for RFP No. N/A Des#		(0301158	3		
Consultant Name:	ant Name: Services Description: Item 1 : Right-of-Way Services						
	Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers						
Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted		
	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data		-		Score		
Past Performance	Quality score for similar work from performance database			12			
rast remonnance	Schedule score from performance database			7			
	Responsiveness score from performance database			7			
	Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subconsultants and documentation skills						
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity	2	 				
Project Manager	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity		 	10			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume	<u> </u>					
	Experience in different type and lower complexity	-1	 				
	Insufficient Experience	-3					
	Project understanding and innovation that gives cost and/or time savings.		-				
	High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed	2	 				
Approach to Project	High level of understanding of the project	1	 	15			
	Basic understanding of the project	0		1.5			
	Lack of project understanding	-3					
	Evaluation of team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time						
Capacity of Team to	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value	1					
do Work	Adequate capacity to meet schedule	0		20			
	Insufficient capacity to meet the schedule	-1	 				
	Technical Expertise: Unique resources & equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable						
Team's	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit	2					
Demonstrated	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for		\vdash				
Qualifications	value added benefit	1		1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level	0	 				
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources	-3					
Location	Location of assigned staff office relative to project						
	Within 50 Miles	1					
	51-150 Miles	0	 	5			
		-1		3			
	151-500 miles			ļ			
	Greater than 500 miles	-2	L				
Weighted Sub-Total: The scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.							
		-					
ranio.	Signed:						
Title:	Date:						

CONSULTANT SELECTION RATING FORM

LPA Consultant Selection Rating Sheet

Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Scale Score Weight Weighted Score Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance database 12 Performance Quality score for similar work from performance database 7 Performance Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in sixe, complexity Project Manager Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in sixe, complexity 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Selection Rating for RFP No. N/A Des#			<u>030115</u>	<u>8</u>		
Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Quality score for similar twork from performance database 12 Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Quality score for similar twork from performance database 7 Scoring Criteria Responsiveness score from performance database 7 Scoring Criteria Responsiveness perfect on similar type and complexity 2 Scoring Criteria 10 Scor	Consultant Name:	nsultant Name: Services Description: Item 2 : Construction Enginee						
Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Quality score for similar twork from performance database 12 Scoring Criteria Scoring Criteria Quality score for similar twork from performance database 7 Scoring Criteria Responsiveness score from performance database 7 Scoring Criteria Responsiveness perfect on similar type and complexity 2 Scoring Criteria 10 Scor		Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers						
Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data Capacity core for similar work from performance database Capacity core for similar system of the project core from performance database Capacity core for similar system of the project core for performance database Capacity core for similar system of the project core for similar system of simil	Category		Scale	Score	Weight			
Past Performance Quality score for similar work from performance database 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data				Score		
Schedule score from performance database Responsiveness score from performance database Responsiveness score from performance database Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subconsultants and documentation skills Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity Demonstrated bigh level of experience in similar type and complexity Experience in similar type and complexity 1 10 Experience in similar type and complexity 1 1 10 Experience in similar type and	Part Parformance				12			
Responsiveness score from performance database Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subconsultants and documentation skills Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity 1 10 Experience in similar type and complexity 1 10 Experience in similar type and complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and lower complexity 1 10 Experience in different type and complexity 1 10 Experience in similar type and complexity 1 10 Experien	rast remominance							
Project Manager Project Manager								
Project Manager Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity 1		Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity,						
Project Manager Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity 1 10 10 Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	i	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity	2					
Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume Experience in different type and lower complexity Project understanding and innovation that gives cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed High level of understanding of the project Basic understanding of the project Lack of project understanding Evaluation of team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value Adequate capacity to meet schedule Technical Expertise: Unique resources & equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable Demonstrated Qualifications Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit Expertise and resources identified for reg'd services for value added benefit Expertise and resources identified for regorporate level 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources -3 Location of assigned staff office relative to project Within 50 Miles 1 Greater than 500 miles -1 Figure the scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.	Project Manager							
Experience in different type and lower complexity						———		
Approach to Project Project understanding and innovation that gives cost and/or five savings. High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed 2 15 15 15 Basic understanding of the project 0 1 15 15 15 Lack of project understanding -3 -3 -3 15 15 Lack of project understanding -3 -3 -3 -3 -3 15 Evaluation of team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time -3 -3 -3 -3 15 Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time -3 -3 -3 -3	ĺ	Experience in different type and lower complexity						
Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed 2 High level of understanding of the project 1 15 Basic understanding of the project 0 0 15 Lack of project understanding -3 0 15 Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value 1 1 20 Adequate capacity to meet schedule 0 1 1 15 Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources dequipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable 1 15 Demonstrated Oualifications Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit 1 1 15 Expertise and resources at appropriate level 0 15			_					
Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed High level of understanding of the project 1 Basic understanding of the project 0 Lack of project understanding -3 Evaluation of team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value 1 Adequate capacity to meet schedule 0 Insufficient capacity to meet the schedule -1 Technical Expertise: Unique resources & equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit 0 Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit 0 Expertise and resources at appropriate level 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources -3 Location of assigned staff office relative to project Within 50 Miles 1 Greater than 500 miles -1 Greater than 500 miles -2 Weighted Sub-Total:								
Approach to Project Basic understanding of the project 0 Lack of project understanding -3 Lack of project understanding -3 Evaluation of team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value 1 Adequate capacity to meet schedule 0 Insufficient capacity to meet the schedule -1 Technical Expertise: Unique resources & equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit 1 Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit 1 Expertise and resources at appropriate level 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources -3 Location of assigned staff office relative to project 1 Within 50 Miles 1 Greater than 500 miles -1 Greater than 500 miles -2 Weighted Sub-Total:								
Basic understanding of the project 0 Lack of project understanding -3	Approach to Project							
Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value Adequate capacity to meet schedule Insufficient capacity to meet the schedule Technical Expertise: Unique resources & equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit Expertise and resources at appropriate level Expertise and resources at appropriate level Insufficient expertise and/or resources Location S1-150 Miles Greater than 500 miles The scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.								
Capacity of Team to do Work Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value								
Capacity of Team to do Work Adequate capacity that results in added value 1 20 Adequate capacity to meet schedule 0 20 Technical Expertise: Unique resources & equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable Demonstrated Qualifications Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit 2 Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit 1 Expertise and resources at appropriate level 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources -3 Location of assigned staff office relative to project Within 50 Miles 1 Greater than 500 miles -1 Greater than 500 miles -2 Weighted Sub-Total:								
Adequate capacity to meet schedule 0	Capacity of Team to	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value	1					
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Demonstrated Apacity to the deliverable Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit Pemonstrated Apacitic properties and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit Pemonstrated Apacitic properties and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit Pemonstrated Apacitic properties and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit Pemonstrated Pemo	do Work				20			
Team's Demonstrated Oualifications Team's Demonstrated Oualifications Team's Demonstrated Oualifications Team's Demonstrated Oualifications Team's Demonstrated Outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit Expertise and resources at appropriate level Insufficient expertise and/or resources Location Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit Expertise and resources at appropriate level Insufficient expertise and/or resources Within 50 Miles The scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.								
Demonstrated Qualifications Demonstrated Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit 1		Technical Expertise: Unique resources & equipment that yield a relevant added						
Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit Expertise and resources at appropriate level 0	Demonstrated	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit						
Expertise and resources at appropriate level 0		Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for reg'd services for			15			
Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project	Qualifications		1	1				
Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project		Expertise and resources at appropriate level	0					
Location Location of assigned staff office relative to project Within 50 Miles 1 51-150 Miles 0 151-500 miles -1 Greater than 500 miles -2 Weighted Sub-Total: The scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.								
Location S1-150 Miles 0 5 151-500 miles -1 Greater than 500 miles -2 Weighted Sub-Total: The scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.								
Location S1-150 Miles 0 5 151-500 miles -1 Greater than 500 miles -2 Weighted Sub-Total: The scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.		Within 50 Miles	1					
The scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.	Location	\$1-150 Miles	0		5			
Greater than 500 miles -2 Weighted Sub-Total: The scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.					,			
Weighted Sub-Total: The scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.								
The scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.		Oreater than 500 innes		Weighted :	Sub-Total:			
Name: Signed:	Name:	Signed:						
Title: Date:	Title:							

		Request for Proposals No Item No.
AFF	TRMATIVE ACTION C	-
participate as part of this www.in.gov/dot/div/legal/DBE/dbe_lide_lide_lide_lide_lide_lide_lide_lid	proposal. (For st.xls.) onsulting in connection will be in accordance with re in this PSB. I understant artment of Transportation of DBEs is in addition to all to be made an integral particular of a blank certification made with the certified T, the certified DBEs have nor I will be penalized for seeds the goal.	n shall cause the proposal to be rejected. DBEs listed in this certification, and that, if my we tentatively agreed to perform the services listed amounts achieved over or under the amount shown
conscious must have prior approval by		
	SUBCONSULTA	
	APPLIED TOWARD GO	DAL (RACE/GENDER CONSCIOUS)
Certified DBE Name & Address	Service Planned	Planned Percentage to be paid to DBE
DBE SUBCONSULTANTS TO BE A Certified DBE Name & Address	APPLIED BEYOND GO. Service Planned	AL (RACE/GENDER CONSCIOUS Planned Percentage to be paid to DBE
	ork Anticipated over DBE	ious):Goal (Race/Gender Neutral):
Ву:		Date